

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,077	06/23/2004	Stephen Proulx	MCA-589A PC/US	5860
25182 7:	590 04/21/2006		EXAMINER	
MILLIPORE CORPORATION			BOUCHELLE, LAURA A	
290 CONCORD ROAD BILLERICA, MA 01821			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dibbbillon,			3763	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/500,077	PROULX ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Laura A. Bouchelle	3763				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2006.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7 and 11-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected.		•				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement:					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		. •				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of. 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
Attachmer	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leason et al (US 5360413). Leason discloses and access device comprising a body 12 having a bore 15 that passes through the entire length of the body, a moveable plunger 18 having a first and second end contained within the bore, wherein the body 12 has a first end 6 and a second end 8, the first end being connected to an upstream component, and the second end being connected to a downstream component. See Figs. 3 and 7. Leason further discloses that when the plunger is in a closed position, the first end of the plunger is in alignment with the face of the body, forming a steamable surface and a sterile barrier for the interior of the body and the downstream components (Col. 3, line 56-68). The device further comprises a seal 20 formed between the plunger and the bore, and the first face includes a sanitary flange 25.
- 3. Regarding claims 6 and 7, Leason's needleless access device allows for sterile to sterile connection as well as sterile to non-sterile connection (Col. 1, lines 50-56).

Application/Control Number: 10/500,077 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 4, 5, 11, 13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Leason et al in view of Tessman et al (US 6210372). Claims 4 and 11 differ from Leason in

calling for the device to be formed of plastic. Claim 5 differs in calling for the device to be

formed of polyetherimides. Tessman discloses a storage and delivery device for a catheter or

needle wherein the device is made using thermoplastics such as polyetherimide that have high

hardness and strength and can withstand sterilization (Col. 6, lines 55-60). Therefore, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the device of

Leason of a thermoplastic such as polyetherimide so that the device would be able to withstand

sterilization.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1/23/2006 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

7. In response to applicant's argument that the device of Leason is not capable of forming a

steamable face, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a

structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably

distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of

Art Unit: 3763

performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The examiner sees no reason why the

Leason device is not capable of being steamed.

8. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references,

the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the

teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching,

suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge

generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, Tessman teaches that it is well known in the art to use the specified materials when

forming a sterile connector device. Further, examiner would like to point out that the piston of

Leason is not disclosed as being made of a flexible material as applicant argues.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/500,077

Art Unit: 3763

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura A Bouchelle

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 3763

LAB

NICHOLAS D. LUCCMESI Programment examiner

3700